

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38054-2018
Date of decision: 02.04.2024

Raj SinghPetitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Japjot Singh, AAG, Punjab.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, however, learned State counsel has apprised that challan has since been presented in the Court way back on 13.10.2018 and even the charges have been framed by learned Trial Court on 14.12.2021.
2. A perusal of the file would reveal that the petitioner had sought directions to the official respondents only to the aspect that the final report under Section 173 Cr.PC be presented in the instant FIR which as stated by learned State counsel has already been complied with. Even otherwise there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, as such, petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

02.04.2024
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |