


2024:PHHC:064120



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

274

CRM-M-37035-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.05.2024

Ranjit Singh @ Ricky and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. B.S. Dhatt, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-4267-2020


Prayer in the application is for correction in the memo of parties and for placing on record the corrected memo of parties.

Learned State counsel and learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 have no objection if the application is allowed.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and corrected memo of parties is taken on record.

CRM-6447-2020

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and the petitioners are exempted from filing certified copies of Annexures P-3 to P-5 and photostat copies of the same are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

**CRM-M-37035-2017**


1. The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.115 dated 06.09.2015 under Sections 323/324/34 of the IPC registered at Police Station Mehtiana (Mantiana), District Hoshiarpur, and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 03.10.2017 of Coordinate Bench of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hoshiarpur, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainants have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed copies of statements of the parties alongwith its report.

5. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hoshiarpur and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs.***



State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

6. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

7. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

08.05.2024 (MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
Vinay JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No