



CRM-M- 20195-2024

1

213

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20195-2024
Date of decision: 27.05.2024**

CHANDAN KUMAR**....PETITIONER**

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER**....RESPONDENTS****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**

Present: - Ms. Pallavi Babbar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sunil K. Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.128 dated 28.03.2022 registered under Sections 313/323/406/420/498-A/506 of Indian Penal Code (Section 313 and 420 IPC deleted later on) at Police Station Butana, District Karnal (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 01.04.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 24.04.2024:-

"This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.128 dated 28.03.2022 under Sections 313, 323, 406, 420, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Butana, District Karnal (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 01.04.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 27.05.2024.

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Sushil K. Sharma, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files Memorandum of Appearance, which is taken on record. He undertakes to file Vakalatnama in the Registry before the next date of hearing. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63 and Full Bench of this Court in Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007**

(3) RCR (Cr1.) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.128 dated 28.03.2022 registered under Sections 313/323/406/420/498-A/506 of Indian Penal Code (Section 313 and 420 IPC deleted later on) at Police Station Butana, District Karnal (Annexure P-1) and compromise dated 01.04.2024, all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

27.05.2024
Anu

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |