

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

113

CWP No.9445 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.04.2024

Atma Singh
.....Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. K.S. Hissowal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this writ petition filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents, especially to respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 06.02.2024 (Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioner vide which the petitioner has made a prayer to provide interest on late payment of retiral benefits of petitioner, who stood retired as Junior Engineer on 30.04.2019.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, confines his prayer for deciding representation dated 06.02.2024 (Annexure P-3). He submits that at this stage, petitioner would be satisfied, if the said representation/legal notice is decided by passing a speaking order within a time bound frame.
4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 while Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate, has

2024:PHHC:056912

accepted notice on behalf of respondent No.2 to 4, and have no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

7. Keeping in view the limited prayer addressed at the time of arguments, facts and circumstances of the case but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to expeditiously decide representation dated 06.02.2024 (Annexure P-3), submitted by the petitioner by passing a speaking order, preferably within a period of 03 months from receipt of certified copy of this order. Benefits, if any, found due to the petitioner shall be released expeditiously preferably within a period of 04 weeks thereafter.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

26.04.2024
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No