

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106

CRM-M No.19366 of 2024
Date of Decision: 20.04.2024

GURCHARAN SINGHPetitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORSRespondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA*

Present: Mr. Kuldip Singh Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for issuance of direction to the official respondents to conduct the fair inquiry on the application filed by the petitioner.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the official respondents to decide the representation (Annexure P-1) moved at the instance of petitioners by passing a speaking order expeditiously.
3. Prayer seems to be justified.
4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab appears on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.
5. In view of the aforesaid, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2

i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar to look into the representation (Annexure P-1) and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 08 (eight) weeks’ from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

April 20, 2024
Atik

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No