

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(117)

CWP-8815-2024
Date of Decision : April 22, 2024

Swati Mehra and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Keshav Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Dr. Neha Awasthi, Advocate,
for respondent No.3-Commission.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners had competed for the post of Deputy Director (Agriculture) and equivalent (Administrative Cadre) (Group A) but after the result of the written examination was declared, the petitioners were not called for further selection process as they were declared ineligible to participate further but now certain candidates who had cleared the written examination for the post in question, have been declared ineligible hence, non-deciding of the eligibility before considering the candidates for grant of appointment to the post in question is causing prejudice to the petitioners.
2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Dr. Neha Awasthi, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3-Commission.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that without raising the grievance before the authorities concerned, the present writ petition has been filed by the petitioners, which is not maintainable and in case the grievance raised in the present writ petition is being raised by the petitioners before the authorities concerned by filing an appropriate representation, appropriate order on the said representation will be passed by the concerned authorities within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such grievance or prior to final selection of the candidates whichever is earlier and in case any benefit accrues to the petitioners, the same will be granted to them otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to file appropriate representation.

6. Ordered accordingly.

April 22, 2024

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No