

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-19396-2024
Date of decision: 13.05.2024

JASHNPREET SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Ms. Poonam Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshay Kumar, AAG, Punjab.


KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 20.04.2024, the following order was
passed:-

“1. Through this second petition, as instituted under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.27 dated 08.04.2023, under Sections 451 (now deleted and 452 added), 324, 323, 506, 34 of the IPC (Section 325 of the IPC now added), registered at P.S. City Samana, District Patiala.

2. Earlier the petitioner had approached this Court through filing CRM-M-18178-2024, thereby seeking the relief (supra), however, owing to some inadvertent mistakes, the said petition was dismissed as withdrawn, but with liberty to file a fresh petition with better particulars.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in the present FIR, no specific role or injury has been attributed to the petitioner,



who is a student. In fact, the petitioner was empty handed and the grievous injury(ies) has been attributed to his parents.

4. Notice of motion for 13.05.2024.

5. Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.

6. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him by ASI Rajbir Singh, submits that the petitioner has already joined the investigation and he is fully co-operating with the investigation process and he is not required for any further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the specific stand taken by the learned State counsel, the present petition is **allowed** and order dated 20.04.2024 is, hereby, made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

13.05.2024
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No