



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

279

CWP-8814-2024 (O & M)
Date of decision: 11.07.2024

MEENAKSHI SOOD

...Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Mohan S. Chauhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Ms. Jasleen Kaur Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Abhilaksh Gaiind, Advocate and
Mr. Rakesh Roy, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the amount assessed with respect to the land of the petitioner acquired for building (widening/four laning etc.), maintenance, management and operation of the National Highway No.70, in District Hoshiarpur, as per the common Award dated 27.04.2016 (Annexure P-2) passed by respondent No.3 and the order dated 03.09.2019 passed by the Commissioner, Division Jalandhar-cum-Arbitrator, alongwith interest @ 9% per annum from the date of acquisition for the delay in disbursal of the amount.

2. In pursuance of the order dated 22.04.2024, respondent No.3-
Mr. Preet Inder Singh, SDM, Hoshiarpur, is present in the Court today.

CWP-8814-2024

3. Counsel for the State has produced three Manager's Cheque in original in the Court today, which have been handed over to the counsel for the petitioner towards payment of compensation, to which the petitioner is entitled. Photocopies of the aforesaid cheques are taken on record. Registry is directed to scan and tag it at an appropriate place. Details of the aforesaid cheques are as under:

Sr. No.	Particulars of Cheques	Name of Payee
1.	No.029388 dated 09.07.2024, amounting to Rs.7,77,600/-	Meenakshi Sood
2.	No.029389 dated 09.07.2024, amounting to Rs.7,77,600/-	Monika Sood
3.	No.029390 dated 09.07.2024, amounting to Rs.7,77,600/-	Manish Sood

4. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as infructuous, at this stage.
5. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to raise her grievance, after decision of the Arbitrator in the matter.
6. Personal appearance of respondent No.3 is exempted.

11.07.2024
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No