



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

306

CRM-M-19678-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: July 22nd, 2024

Jasleen Kaur and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Samay Singh Sandhawalia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Puneet Bali, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-17199-2024

Prayer in this application under Rule 3-A (i) of Chapter 6,
Part-B, Volume V of the High Court Rules and Orders is for grant of
leave to file the accompanied petition.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, which is duly
supported by an affidavit of the counsel, the same is allowed.

CRM-M-19678-2024

Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.558
dated 28.11.2021 under Sections 384, 389 of the IPC (Sections 120-B,
201, 212 of the IPC added later on) registered at Police Station
Civil Lines, Kaithal, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom
on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 22.04.2024 of this Court, the parties were
directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on

21.05.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from the learned trial Court in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned trial Court and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

July 22nd, 2024
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No