



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.240

CRWP-3554-2024

Date of Decision: 16.09.2024

SUNEHA DHIMAN AND ANOTHER

....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. R.S. Dadwal, Advocate for respondents No.4 to 7.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondents No.1 to 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.
2. Learned counsel representing respondents No.4 to 7 stated that petitioner No.1 has returned back home and started residing with her parents. Nobody is appearing on behalf of the petitioners for the last many dates.
3. Taking into account the statement of learned counsel for the respondents No.4 to 7, present petition has rendered infructuous and stands disposed of accordingly.
4. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

16.09.2024

mahima

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No