

123

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21011-2024
DECIDED ON: 01.05.2024

JASPAL SINGH ARORA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Karan Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Virk, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., for quashing the FIR No.158 dated 13.06.2023, registered at Police Station Sector 17, District Faridabad Haryana under Section 174-A of IPC (Annexure P-1) alongwith all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present FIR came to be registered in pursuance of the criminal complaint bearing No.NACT/9182/2018 titled as Mr. Balbir Singh Proprietor of M/s. Luxmi Industries versus Jaspal Singh Arora Prop. Of M/s. Raxmech Industries filed against the petitioner under section 138 of Negotiable Instrument Act wherein cheques presented by the petitioner for a sum of Rs.1,50,000/- got dishonored and further he failed to join the proceedings and was declared Proclaimed person vide order dated 06.06.2023.

3. He further submits that initially summons were issued and thereafter bailable warrants were issued but non-bailable warrants never executed upon the petitioner and finally the proclamation was issued vide order dated 27.03.2023 and after completing the process under Section 82 Cr.P.C., the petitioner has been declared as proclaimed person on 06.06.2023 and in furtherance thereof, once the petitioner fails to appear before the trial court, the FIR in question has been registered. Moreover, the Court in total violation of Section 82 Cr.P.C. has declared the petitioner as proclaimed person as he was never served at his address.

4. He states that the main complaint under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act titled as “Mr. Balbir Singh Proprietor of M/s. Luxmi Industries versus Jaspal Singh Arora Prop. Of M/s. Raxmech Industries” stands withdrawn by the complainant, in view of the compromise affected between the parties vide order dated 06.04.2024 (Annexure P-10) passed by Judicial Magistrate 1st Class Faridabad stating that the Matter has been compromised between the complainant/respondent and the accused/petitioner and as such does not want to pursue the present complaint.

5. Heard, learned counsel for the parties.

6. In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner that the main complaint stands withdrawn by the complainant on 06.04.2024 (Annexure P-10) therefore, continuation of proceedings under Section 174-A of IPC would be abuse of process of law. Also, this principle has been laid down in several dictums of this Court and reliance can be placed upon the orders dated 20.07.2022 and 24.08.2022 respectively, passed by a coordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-46062-2017, titled as **“Jatin Dhawan and another versus State of Haryana and another”** and CRM-M-12534-2022, titled as **“Krishan Kumar versus State of Haryana and another”**, respectively

wherein it has been held that once the main case is dismissed as withdrawn, the continuation of proceedings under Section 174-A IPC shall be an abuse of process of law.

7. Further reliance can be placed upon the orders of this Court dated 12.12.2022 and 13.12.2022 passed in CRM-M-55634-2022 titled as “***Jinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another***” and CRM-M-45051-2022 titled as “***Hari Singh Meena Vs. State of Haryana***”, respectively in this regard.

8. Another Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in a case titled as “***Ashok Madan vs. State of Haryana and another***” reported as 2020 (4) RCR (Criminal) 87 has also held as under:-

“No doubt, the learned counsel for the respondent has vehemently argued that the offence under Section 174A I.P.C. is independent of the main case, therefore, merely because the main case has been dismissed for want of prosecution, the present petition cannot be allowed, however, keeping in view the fact that the present FIR was registered only on account of absence from the proceedings in the main case which had been subsequently regularised by the court while granting bail to the petitioner, the default stood condoned. In such circumstances, continuation of proceedings under Section 174-A I.P.C. Shall be abuse of the process of court.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed. FIR No.446 dated 21.08.2017, registered under Section 174-A I.P.C. At Police Station Kotwali, District Faridabad, as well as consequential proceedings shall stand quashed.”

9. A perusal of the relevant extract of the above judgment would show that where the main case was dismissed for want of prosecution, it was observed that the continuation of proceedings under Section 174-A of the IPC shall be an abuse of the process of court.

10. Since the main complaint has been dismissed as withdrawn, as is evident from the perusal of aforesaid order dated 06.04.2024 (Annexure P-10)

passed by Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Faridabad (Annexure P-10) and in view of the compromise effected vide Memorandum of Understanding dated 05.04.2024 (Annexure P-4) the offence between the petitioner and complainant is personal in nature not against the society at large, who have resolved their dispute, no fruitful purpose would be served by continuing the proceedings in the instant case.

11.. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is allowed and No.158 dated 13.06.2023, registered at Police Station Sector 17 Faridabad Haryana under Section 174-A of IPC (Annexure P-1) alongwith order dated 06.06.2023 (Annexure P-2) whereby the petitioner has been declared Proclaimed Person, all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

01.05.2024

mahima

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE