

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(120)

CWP-8848-2024
Date of Decision : April 22, 2024

Arpit Kumar and another .. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Veena Hooda, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the petitioners have secured more marks than the last selected candidates who have been selected to proceed further with the selection process for Group D posts as advertised vide Advertisement No.1/2023 dated 30.05.2023 (Annexure P-1) but the petitioners have not been allowed the said benefit, such act of the respondents is totally illegal and arbitrary.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as per the respondents, while declaring the result, the Eligible Sports Person, who has secured 44.791 marks for the post in question, has been allowed to proceed further in the selection process whereas, the petitioners have secured 45.35 marks which is more than the last selected candidate, who has been allowed to compete further against the un-reserved post of Eligible Sports Person hence, the respondents without verifying the actual facts, have ousted the petitioners from the zone of consideration, which is arbitrary and illegal.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioners in the representations dated 09.03.2024 (Annexure P-12 and Annexure P-13 respectively) which are still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representations by passing an appropriate speaking order.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representations dated 09.03.2024 (Annexure P-12 and Annexure P-13 respectively) has been received in the office of concerned authorities, the same will be decided by the authorities concerned within a period of four weeks of the receipt of copy of this order.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

8. Ordered accordingly.

April 22, 2024

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No