



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

462-2

CWP-8835-2003

Date of decision : 20.09.2024

Ram Kumar Mor

....Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Rahul Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. D.R. Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Dutt Sharma, D.A.G., Haryana.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of certiorari, quashing the impugned notice dated 13.02.2003 (Annexure P-16) and further seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents not to revert the petitioner.

2. Short reply by way of an affidavit of Parveen Kumar, General Manager, Irrigation Department, Haryana, Panchkula, on behalf of respondent No.1, has been filed, wherein it has been stated as under :-

“xx xx xx xx xx

3. *That the petitioner has challenged the Show Cause Notice dated 13.02.2003 in the present Writ Petition and this Hon'ble Court on the first hearing granted interim order to stay the reversion vide order dated 30.05.2003. However, since the present writ petition was pre-mature because the final action was still under consideration of the Competent Authority.*

However, keeping in view the fact that the Show Cause Notice under challenged is being withdrawn, albeit to consider issuance of fresh detailed Show Cause Notice, this writ petition has become infructuous and may kindly be dismissed as such.”

3. While issuing notice of motion on 30.05.2003, reversion of the petitioner was stayed.
4. Learned State counsel submits that during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner has already retired from service on 30.06.2012 and no further show cause notice was issued to him while he was in service and all his retiral benefits have already been released to him. Therefore, in this view of the matter, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous.
5. Disposed of as having become infructuous.

20.09.2024
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No