

CRM-M-29438-2021

2

for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

3. Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patiala, vide report dated 08.02.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Respondent No.2 and 3 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patiala, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 10 dated 04.03.2021, under Sections 406 of IPC and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at Police Station Women, Patiala (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

15.03.2024
kanika

i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No