



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.21623 of 2024
Date of decision: 1st October, 2024

Sonia Mahant
... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Hitesh Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Shiva Khurmi, Asst. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.
Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Neeraj Narang, Advocate for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.0124 dated 01.07.2020 under Sections 326, 328, 506, 342, 120-B of the IPC registered at Police Station City Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 24.03.2024 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.
2. Vide order dated 01.08.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.
3. Report has since been received from learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur, in pursuance of the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties

stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondents No.2 and 3 are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in '**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others**' (2012) 10 SCC 303, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it qua the petitioner, are quashed.

7. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

October 1, 2024

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No