

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8512-2024

Date of decision:- 18.04.2024

Brindco Sales Pvt. Ltd

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and ors.

. ..Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Puneet Bali, Sr. Advocate assisted by
Mr. B.S. Patwalia, Advocate,
Ms. Simran Brar, Advocate and
Mr. Vedanta Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Saurabh Kapoor, Sr. Standing counsel
for the respondent-department.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. After considering the issue raised by learned senior counsel for the petitioner relating to the impugned order passed by the Collector cum Deputy Commissioner (Excise), Patiala Zone, Patiala, on the anvil of the Punjab Intoxicants License and Sales Orders, 1956 and also considering the provisions contained under Section 14 of Punjab Excise Act, which provide for an appeal against the order impugned before us, we deem it appropriate to allow the petitioner to prefer an appeal assailing the order. He would be allowed to make all the arguments which he has made before this Court and it is expected that the Appellate Authority will examine the grounds taken by the petitioner and pass a speaking order.

2. If such an appeal is preferred by the petitioner by tomorrow, the same shall be heard and decided within a period of 10 days thereafter. An opportunity of personal hearing shall also be granted to the petitioner. If any adverse order is passed, the petitioner shall be free to assail that order before this Court.

3. Since we have not examined the case on merits, we are not mentioning the contentions raised by learned senior counsel for the petitioner.

4. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with the above liberty.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

April 18, 2024
G Arora

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No