





- 1. Number of persons arrayed as accused in the FIR;
- 2. Whether any accused is a proclaimed offender;
- 3. Whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence;
- 4. Whether the accused persons are involved in any other FIR or not; and
- 5. The trial Court is also directed to record the statement of the Investigating Officer so as to know how many victims/complainants are there in the FIR and all the victims/complainant as well as accused are party to the compromise in question.

A copy of the report be sent through FAX, to the Registrar (Judicial) of this Court.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834* and *Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.46 dated 25.02.2022 under Sections 323, 34, 354-A, 354-B, 406, 498-A and 506 IPC (Sections 354-A and 354-B IPC deleted later on), registered at Police Station Naggal, District Ambala (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)  
JUDGE

November 22, 2024  
manisha

- |      |                           |        |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable        | Yes/No |