

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20531-2024
Date of decision: 21.05.2024

RAMAN KUMAR
.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER
.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Gaurav Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sanjiv Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 05.04.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
125	10.09.2019	406 and 420 of IPC and 24 of Immigration Act, 1983.	Panjokhra, Ambala Cantt., District Ambala

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 05.04.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the



336

CRM-M-20531-2024

2

parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs. 10,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order dated 29.04.2024.

3. Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ambala, vide report dated 09.05.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ambala, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused person.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 125 dated 10.09.2019, under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act, 1983, registered at Police Station Panjokhra, Ambala Cantt., District Ambala (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

21.05.2024

kanika

i) Whether speaking/reasoned?
ii) Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No