



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

312

CRM-M-20507-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.07.2024

Arun alias Saurabh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Ms. Preeti Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. H.S. Gharoo, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.0537 dated 15.10.2021 under Sections 120B, 147, 149, 295-A, 296, 298 of the IPC (Sections 120B, 147, 149 of the IPC deleted and Section 34 of the IPC added lateron) registered at Police Station Bhiwani City, Bhiwani, District Bhiwani, and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 25.04.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 24.05.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bhiwani, in pursuance of the directions of this Court,



wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bhiwani and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

8. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

25.07.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No