



In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

229

RA-CW-91-2022 in
CWP-6712-2019
DATE OF DECISION: JULY 24, 2024

SHVINDER PAL SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Hanbans Lal Sharma, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. Amanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

The present review application has been filed for reviewing the order passed by Coordinate Bench dated 11.03.2022.

The arguments which has been raised by the learned counsel for the review applicant is that the petitioner has a good record whereas, the Court wrongly came to the conclusion that the petitioner does not have good record so as to get ACP. Learned counsel for the review applicant/petitioner further submits that the petitioner was entitled to invoke the jurisdiction of this Court as benefit not being granted was continuous wrong which has not been appreciated by the Court while passing the order dated 11.03.2022.

Argument which has been raised is to re-argue the writ petition so as to convince this Court to come to a different conclusion as arrived at by the Coordinate Bench while passing the order dated 11.03.2022. Under the garb of review, the applicant cannot be allowed to re-argue the case. In case, the applicant is aggrieved against the decision of the Court, he can avail the remedy

of an appeal. Unless and until, the findings recorded by the Court are based on incorrect fact, the review will not be maintainable.

Keeping in view of the above, no ground is made out for any interference by this Court in the present application, seeking review as the petitioner can avail appropriate remedy of appeal in case he is aggrieved against the said decision.

The review application stands dismissed.

July 24, 2024
A. Kaundal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No