

2024:PHHC:052161
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

135 CWP-8532-2024
Date of decision: 18.04.2024

BHANO PRATAP SINGHPETITIONER

Vs.

STATE BANK OF INDIA AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Kuljit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 26.12.2016 (Annexure P-1) whereby he was removed from service.
2. The petitioner is further seeking direction to respondents to consider his representation/legal notice dated 04.12.2023 (Annexure P-5).
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on being confronted with the fact that impugned order was passed on 26.12.2016 (Annexure P-1), expressed his inability to advance any plausible reason for the delay, however, he prays that respondents may be directed to consider his representation/legal notice.
4. In view of limited prayer and without seeking response of respondents, the petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner expeditiously. It is made clear that this order does not create any right in favour of the petitioner and the respondent-authority shall examine the representation of the petitioner on its own merits.
5. Disposed of accordingly.

18.04.2024 [JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
manoj JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No