



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(104)

CWP No. 11545 of 2024
Date of Decision : 17.05.2024

Meena Malik

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Varun Aryan Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner intends to approach the higher authorities so as to claim the relaxation clause for the release of the remaining amount, which has not been reimbursed by placing reliance upon Clause-11 of the Policy dated 02.05.2011 (Annexure P-13) and the respondents be directed to decide the same in a time bound manner so that petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.



4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any representation is received by the petitioner, the same will be decided in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of the claim by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

6. Ordered accordingly.

May 17, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No