



**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA-1013-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: July 25, 2024

Darshna

... Appellant

Versus

Sunita and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Viresh Dahiya, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Johan Kumar, Advocate for respondent No.1.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

By drawing attention towards the order dated 26.02.2024 earlier passed by this Court, learned counsel for respondent No.1 has placed on record the copy of the order dated 28.03.2024 of the Executing Court, wherein statement was made by counsel for the decree holder that he did not want to proceed with the case, as the compliance of the order dated 20.03.2024 had already been made. Learned counsel has also placed on record copies of certain photographs in order to contend the malba has already been removed.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant concedes the fact that nothing survives in this appeal, as the orders for removal of malba have already been complied with.

3. In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for the appellant, present appeal stands disposed of, having become infructuous.

July 25, 2024

sarita

(DEEPAK GUPTA)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No