

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 19098 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.04.2024**

Jarnail Singh alias Mintu alias Bullar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate, for the petitioner.
(Joined through video-conferencing)

Mr. Rahul Jindal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, by way of present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., seeks grant of regular bail pending trial in case bearing FIR No.10, dated 07.02.2024, under Sections 323, 341, 325, 506, 148, 149 & 201 of IPC (Section 307 of IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sadar Sangrur, District Sangrur, whereby the petitioner alongwith other co-accused has been implicated with the allegations of having caused injuries on the person of complainant-Amritpal Singh with an intention to kill him.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for the past about two months and has been falsely implicated in the present case; thus, prayer is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

[3] On the other hand, learned State Counsel vehemently opposes the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner, while referring to the injuries inflicted upon the person of complainant.

[4] I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper-book. I find substance in the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

[5] As per learned State Counsel, instructed from the quarter concerned, the investigation *qua* the petitioner in the present FIR stands over and no specific or categoric injury has been attributed to the petitioner, who is behind the bars for the past more than two months, while the injured got discharged from the hospital. Moreover, pre-arrest bail granted to the similarly placed co-accused-Gurpreet Singh and Bunty Singh alias Kurnaig have already been confirmed by this Court vide order of even date passed in CRM-M-12566-2024 & CRM-M-14691-2024 respectively. Considering the aforesaid facts, this Court does not find justification to extend the incarceration of the petitioner any further.

[6] In view of the above, but without commenting upon merits of the present petition, the same is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds / surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

[7] It is made clear that this order may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

April 26, 2024
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>