



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-11598-2019(O&M)
Date of decision: 17.09.2024

Housing Board Jind Flat Owners Welfare Association
... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Dr. Surya Parkash, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate, and
Ms. Vamika Johar, Advocate, for respondents No.2 to 4.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

At the outset, learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 submits that during the pendency of the petition, the matter in issue was re-examined by the Board of Directors on February 2, 2021, and the grievance(s) of the petitioner stands redressed. Even, the cost of the flat(s) in question has been reduced to Rs.11,97,000/-, which would be recovered in monthly installments. Accordingly, he submits that nothing substantive survives in the petition.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let the petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, as also the statements of learned counsel for the parties, the petition is accordingly disposed of. However, in the event, any cause of action, dispute or interest still survives, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail such other remedies as shall be admissible in law and also to file a fresh petition.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

17.09.2024
Rajan