



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

268

**CRM-M No.19161 of 2024
Date of decision: 17.12.2024**

Sukhjit Kaur and others

....Petitioners

V/s

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Rhythem Bajaj, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Shiva Kurmi, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Ms. Vishal Sharda, Advocate for
Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.16 dated 27.02.2024 under Sections 323, 324 and 34 IPC, 1860 (offence under Section 326 IPC added later-on vide Rapat No.44 dated 28.02.2024) registered at Police Station Kabarwala, Tehsil Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 12.04.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 22.04.2024 of Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 13.05.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.)-cum-Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Malout, in pursuance of the



CRM-M No.19161 of 2024

-2-

directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the copies of statements of the parties, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.)-cum-Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Malout and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

December 17, 2024

poonam(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No