

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110

CRWP-3494-2024
Date of decision : 20.04.2024

Anju Bala and another Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Ms. Dilpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 12.
2. Notice of motion.
3. Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
4. As per petitioners, they have married on 01.04.2024 against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 12, who happen to be the relatives of petitioner No.1 i.e. Anju Bala.
5. Both the petitioners claim themselves to be major stating that petitioner No.1-Anju Bala is 28.03.2003 born and petitioner No.2-Gurdas is 21.03.1994 born as per their Aadhar Cards.
6. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to consider the

2024:PHHC:053130

representation dated 12.04.2024 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioners.

7. Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married, I think that if possible, respondent No.2 may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as respondents No.4 to 12 in his presence, so that the parties get rid off their ill feelings and live amicably.

8. The petition stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

20.04.2024
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No