

2024:PHHC:057090
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19362-2024
Date of Decision:26.04.2024
...Petitioner

Sundri Devi

vs.

State of U.T, Chandigarh and others ...Respondents

Coram : Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Ms. Saroj Bala, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C with a prayer to issue appropriate directions to respondents No.2 and 3, to take appropriate legal action against the private respondents.
2. Learned State counsel has informed the Court that a complaint was received from the petitioner and thereafter, both the parties were summoned by the SHO, Police Station Sarangpur, U.T., Chandigarh. Learned State counsel further contends that both the parties were counselled and they had agreed to resolve their issues peacefully, in accordance with law.
3. Learned State counsel has further assured the Court that in case, any complaint is still received by the Chandigarh Police, with regard to threat to life and liberty of the petitioner, appropriate action shall be taken in accordance with law.
4. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no further directions are required to be passed by this Court and the present petition is accordingly disposed off.

26.04.2024
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No