

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(115)

CWP No. 8945 of 2024
Date of Decision : 23.04.2024

Suman Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sant Lal Barwala, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had competed for Group-D post in the category of Eligible Sports Persons, in the Sub Category of Schedule Caste, which claim has not been considered by the respondents though, the candidates having lesser marks than the petitioner in the said category, have been selected for the post in question. Learned counsel submits that once the petitioner has secured more marks, she was entitled to be selected in the category of ESP (SC), hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to grant the petitioner the selection and consequent appointment as the candidates having lesser marks than the petitioner have been selected for the post in question.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representations dated

29.02.2024 and 05.03.2024, collectively attached as Annexure P-10 but the same are still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representations dated 29.02.2024 and 05.03.2024, collectively attached as Annexure P-10 have been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same are still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

April 23rd, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No