

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

108

CWP-8500-2024 (O&M)
Decided on : 19.04.2024

GEETA AND ORS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that though, the benefit under Haryana Urban Health Centre (Contractual Employees) Services Bye-Laws, 2020 has been extended to the similarly situated employees but the said benefit has not been extended to the petitioners and that too without any valid justification. Hence, the respondents are under obligation to grant the benefit to the petitioners under Haryana Urban Health Centre (Contractual Employees) Services Bye-Laws, 2020 as extended to one Sandeep Kumar, who is working as Register Clerk-cum- Computer Assistant in Poly Clinic, Sec-7, Sonipat and one Pinki, who is working as A.N.M. in Poly Clinic, Sector-7, Sonipat.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the Legal Notice dated 11.01.2024 (Annexure P-7) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the Legal Notice dated 11.01.2024 (Annexure P-7) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of **eight weeks** of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. Pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

19.04.2024
Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: ~~Yes~~/No