



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

135/A

CRM-M-18778-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.12.2024

BOOTA SINGH AND ORS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present : Ms. Sushma Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J.

[1] The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.3 dated 13.10.2023 under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station NRI, District Jalandhar Rural (Annexure P-1), on the basis of affidavit dated 18.03.2024 (Annexure P-3) executed by Lovepreet Singh-complainant/respondent No.2.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR was registered at the instance of respondent No.2 on account of a misunderstanding between parties. He further contends that the matter has been settled between the parties and compromise deed dated 18.03.2024 (Annexure P-3) has been executed

**CRM-M-18778-2024 (O&M)**

- 2-

between them, as such, respondent No.2 does not want to take any action in the FIR.

[3] Learned counsel for respondent No.2 confirms about the factum of compromise between the parties.

[4] On 19.04.2024, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements regarding the compromise.

[5] As per the report dated 12.06.2024 received from Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jalandhar forwarded through the office of District and Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

[6] Learned State counsel has not raised any objection regarding the acceptance of the present petition.

[7] The respondent No.2 got registered the present FIR No.3 dated 13.10.2023 (Annexure P-1) against the petitioners with the allegations that his marriage was solemnized with Ramanpreet Kaur-petitioner No.2 on 10.01.2021. At the time of marriage, no dowry was given. After the marriage, respondent No.2 had given luxurious life to petitioner No.2 and even she was taken to foreign countries many times. The petitioner No.1-Bootia Singh had filed a complaint against respondent No.2 and his relatives upon which, a false FIR No.42 dated 27.02.2022, under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC was registered at Police Station Shahkot, District Jalandhar (Rural). During the investigation in the said FIR, the petitioners produced false and fabricated evidence by way of producing sale invoices and exaggerated the amount of expenses made at the time of marriage. It is alleged that presenting false and fabricated bills is a case of cheating.



[8] The litigation has arisen out of a matrimonial dispute *inter se* the respondent No.2 and petitioner No.2. Now, the matter stands compromised between the parties and both the parties have decided to end the litigation. As per the affidavit by respondent No.2 (Annexure P-3), both the parties have decided not to keep any grudges against each other in future and respondent No.2 does not want to take any action in the FIR. Lovepreet Singh Chandi, Kulwinder Kaur Chandi and Kuljeet Singh Khinda have filed a petition for quashing of FIR No.42 dated 27.02.2022 registered at the instance of petitioner No.1-Boota Singh on the basis of compromise by way of filing CRM-M-23949-2024 and CRM-M-27197-2024, which has been accepted vide order of even date passed in the said petitions.

[9] Both the parties have decided to file a petition for divorce seeking dissolution of marriage of respondent No.2-Lovepreet Singh and petitioner No.2-Ramanpreet Kaur as per the compromise between the parties.

[10] Keeping in view the fact that the compromise between the parties is genuine and voluntarily made and in view of the ratio of the decision of Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab (SC) 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and the decision of Hon'ble the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the ends of justice would meet if the FIR and consequential proceedings are quashed by accepting the compromise between the parties.

[11] Consequently, this petition is allowed and FIR No.3 dated 13.10.2023 under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station NRI, District Jalandhar Rural (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom are ordered to be quashed, qua the petitioners.



[12] However, the respondent No.2 and the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of this order, in case the final terms and conditions of compromise (Annexure P-3) are violated.

[13] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

19.12.2024
P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No