

FAO No.4958 of 2002

Date of Decision: 16.04.2024

Vipin Metal Products

...Appellant

Versus

Haryana Electricity Regulatory
Commission & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Sanjeev Pabbi, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. V. K. Kaushal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

As reported by the Registry, notice issued to the appellant had been received back duly served through his son named Akshay Gupta. However, none has appeared in the Court today to represent it (appellant).

2. Learned counsel, who had been representing the appellant earlier in the present appeal, stands elevated to Hon’ble Supreme Court.

3. In these circumstances, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the appeal in hand for want of prosecution. Resultantly, the same stands dismissed accordingly.

16.04.2024

seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No