

Sr. No.269

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20075-2023
Date of decision: 23rd April, 2024**

SANDEEP AND ORS.Petitioners

versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHERRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vikram Singh Narwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (ORAL)

[1] The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for quashing of FIR No.165 dated 14.12.2018, under Sections 498-A, 506, 34 IPC, registered at Women Police Station, Rohtak (Annexure P-1), on the basis of compromise deed (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR was registered at the instance of respondent No.2 on account of a matrimonial dispute. However, with the intervention of the respectables, the matter has now been amicably settled between the parties. The petitioner No.1-husband and respondent No.2-wife had filed a joint divorce petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, which has been allowed by the Family Court, Rohtak vide decree dated 31.05.2023. Petitioner No.1-husband has paid a sum of Rs.2,00,000/- to respondent No.2-wife as full and final settlement towards past, present and

future maintenance. Respondent No.2-wife does not want to pursue the FIR and she has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

[3] Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 confirms the factum of compromise between the parties.

[4] On 24.04.2023, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements regarding the compromise.

[5] As per the report dated 30.05.2023, received from the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak, through the District & Sessions Judge, Rohtak, compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence. The petitioners have not been declared as “Proclaimed Offenders” and they are not involved in any other criminal case.

[6] Learned State counsel has not raised any objection regarding the acceptance of the present petition.

[7] The matrimonial dispute between the parties stands settled. Keeping in view the fact that the compromise between the parties is genuine and voluntarily made and in view of the ratio of the decision of Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab (SC) 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and the decision of Hon'ble the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the ends of justice would meet if the FIR and consequential proceedings are quashed by accepting the compromise between the parties.

[8] Consequently, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.165 dated 14.12.2018, under Sections 498-A, 506, 34 IPC, registered at Women Police Station, Rohtak (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom are ordered to be quashed, qua the petitioners.

[9] However, the respondent No.2 and the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of this order, in case the final terms and conditions of compromise deed (Annexure P-2) are violated.

[10] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

23rd April, 2024
sim

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>