

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216-A

CRM-M-18810-2024
Date of Decision:29.04.2024

SUKHDEEP KAUR ALIAS RUBIPetitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Avtar Singh Bhatti, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. G.P.S. Bhullar, AAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

On 19.04.2024, following order was passed:

“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.0261 dated 04.09.2023 registered under Sections 482, 506, 509 of the IPC, 1860 & Section 22, 27, 29 of NDPS Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur.

As per allegations, a secret information was received against petitioner and others to be involved in the sale of intoxicating substance. However, it is only co-accused Rano, who was arrested with 60 grams of intoxicating powder.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated; that his name finds mention only in the secret information, but neither she was apprehended from the spot nor any recovery was effected from her and that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Baljinder Singh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent- State. Copy of paper book be supplied to him during the course of day.

Adjourned to 29.04.2024 for filing status report.

Be listed along with CRM-M-12592-2024.

In the meantime, in case of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting

Officer/Investigating Officer. However, it is directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. She shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. She shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

2. Today learned State counsel, submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.
3. Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 19.04.2024 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.
4. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

29.04.2024

pry

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No