

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH
Sr. No.: 105

Civil Writ Petition No.8645 of 2024
Date of Decision: *April 20, 2024*

Anita Kumari & others

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

State of Haryana & others

..... RESPONDENT(S)

...

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

...

PRESENT: - Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

...

Tribhuvan Dahiya, J (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the transfer order dated 16.03.2024, Annexure P-7, whereby the claim of petitioners for couple case was not considered, and they have been transferred to different districts, whereas their husbands are working in the same department, and in same district.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that at this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case they are permitted to make a representation to the respondents raising the grievance as has been raised in the instant petition with a direction to the third respondent to decide the same within a specified period.

2. Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, appearing on advance notice, submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioners within a period of one week, the same will be duly

considered and decided by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from receiving the same.

3. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

4. Ordered accordingly.

(Tribhuvan Dahiya)
Judge

April 20, 2024
meenu

<u>Whether Speaking/ Reasoned:</u>	<u>Yes/ No</u>
<u>Whether Reportable:</u>	<u>Yes/ No</u>