

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.2529 of 2024(O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.04.2024

Punjab National Bank
...Revisionist-Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab & others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. S.K. Dhir, Advocate,
for the revisionist-petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

By filing the instant revision-petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner-defendant No.4-Bank has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of direction to learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ludhiana (for short ‘the trial Court’) to decide *Civil Suit No.2766 of 2019* titled as ‘*Anil Singhania vs. Graphic Motors Pvt. Ltd. & Others*’ in a time-bound manner.

2. However, at the time of assisting the Court, at the preliminary stage, in the present revision-petition, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner had filed an application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC in the above-said Civil Suit on 07.10.2021 but the same has not yet been decided and he has restricted his prayer to the issuance of direction to the trial Court to decide the afore-mentioned application at the earliest.

3. Keeping in view the above-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner and also the fact that the afore-referred

Miscellaneous Application is pending adjudication for the last more than 2½ years and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the above-said application or the Civil Suit, the revision-petition in hand is, hereby, disposed of with direction to the concerned trial Court to decide the Application under reference, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

26.04.2024
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*