

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111

CRM-M No.19486 of 2024
Date of Decision: 22.04.2024

NEELAM RANI AND ORSPetitioners
Vs
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS ...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA*

Present: Mr. ADS Jattana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to carry out proper enquiry into the representation dated 25.02.2024 (Annexure P-6) filed at the instance of petitioner No.1.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his clients would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondents to decide the representation dated 25.02.2024 (Annexure P-6) moved at the instance of petitioner No.1 by passing a speaking order expeditiously.
3. Prayer seems to be justified.
4. Upon advance notice Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab appears on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.
5. In view of the aforesaid, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2

i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to look into the representation dated 25.02.2024 (Annexure P-6) and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 08 (eight) weeks’ from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

April 22, 2024

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(HARKESH MANUJA)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No