

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CWP-8595-2024
Date of Decision :19.04.2024

Vikash Kumar and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sunil Goswami, Advocate for the petitioners.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners had applied for various group-D posts, which were advertised by the respondents vide advertisement No.1/2023 under the reserved category of Ex-serviceman or Eligible Sports Persons, as the case may be, but the petitioners have only been considered under the general category without there being any valid justification and that too when petitioners have completed all the formalities so as to be considered under the said reserved category while filling up the application form for the posts in question. Hence, the respondents are under an obligation to consider the claim of the petitioners for appointment under their respective reserved categories keeping in view the merit of the petitioners and the marks secured by the last selected candidate in the category in which they applied.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that though,

the petitioners have already raised their grievance before the respondents by way of submitting the representations dated 08.03.2024 and 13.03.2024 (Annexures P/15 and P/17 respectively) but qua the claim of petitioner Nos. 2 and 3, they have already raised their grievance before the respondents by way of submitting a representation but they have not retained the copy of the said representation, which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representations.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any representation has been received from the petitioners including representations dated 08.03.2024 and 13.03.2024 (Annexures P/15 and P/17 respectively) and are still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided in accordance with law and an appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and in case it is found feasible to grant the relief to the petitioners, the same will be extended to them otherwise, due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioners will be mentioned in the speaking order so passed, which will be conveyed to the petitioners.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, present petition

may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

April 19, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No