



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
(Sr. No. 236)

(1) CWP-8194-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 13.12.2024

Prem Chawla and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

(2) CWP-17890-2023 (O&M)

Karamjit Singh and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

(3) CWP-25865-2023 (O&M)

Manjusha Rani and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

(4) CWP-5843-2024 (O&M)


Hardev Singh and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ranjivan Singh, Advocate for the petitioners



in CWP-8194-2023

Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP-17890 of 2023 and CWP-5843-2024.

Mr. Navneet Jindal, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP-25865-20234 and CWP-5843-2023.

Ms. Shruti, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.


Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. By this common order, four writ petitions, the details of which have been given in the heading, are being decided as all these petitions involve the same question of law on similar facts.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that in the present petitions also, the petitioners are claiming the arrears of revised pay/pension and leave encashment, which is not being paid to the petitioners. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the respondents be directed to decide the claim of the petitioners in a time bound manner so that the petitioners do not suffer prejudice.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that keeping in view the various requests for release of arrears, this Court had already passed an order in CWP No. 29782 of 2022 titled as ***Satya Parkash Khanna and others vs. State of Punjab and others***, decided on 11.07.2024 and the present petitions be also disposed of in terms of the said order.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners do not object to the said prayer.



5. Keeping in view the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, the present petitions are also disposed of in terms of *Satya Parkash Khanna and others' case (supra)*.

6. It is made clear that in case, the respondents do not decide the claim of the petitioners within the time frame, as the petitioners who are retirees and are senior citizen, the serious view will be taken for violating the undertaking given before this Court.

7. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

8. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected cases.

December 13, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No