



230 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19044-2024
Date of decision: 20.05.2024

VIVEK NEGI

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Vivek Tiwari, Advocate and
Mr. Avichal Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jagraj Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.65 dated 02.07.2022 under Sections 354, 354-B, 509 of IPC (Section 509 of IPC was revoked later by learned Illaqa Magistrate vide order dated 18.10.2022), registered at Women Police Station Panchkula, District Panchkula (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 29.02.2024 (Annexure P-3).

2. Mr. Jagraj Singh, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and filed his *vakalatnama* which is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

3. The following order was passed on 19.04.2024 :-

"This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.65 dated 02.07.2022 under Sections 354, 354-B, 509 of IPC (Section 509 of IPC was revoked later by learned Illaqa Magistrate vide order dated 18.10.2022), registered at Women Police Station Panchkula, District Panchkula (Annexure



P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 29.02.2024 (Annexure P-3).

Notice of motion for 20.05.2024.

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Ankit Kumar Bhinchar, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files Memo of Appearance, which is taken on record. He undertakes to file Vakalatnama in the Registry before the next date of hearing. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 20.05.2024.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

4. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

5. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834*** and ***Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.65 dated 02.07.2022 under Sections 354, 354-B, 509 of IPC (Section 509 of IPC was revoked later by learned Illaq Magistrate vide



order dated 18.10.2022), registered at Women Police Station Panchkula, District Panchkula (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

May 20, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |