

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101

2024:PHHC:000065
CWP No.8135 of 2023
Date of Decision:04.01.2024

Sudhir Kumar

....Petitioner

VS.

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr.Anil Mehta, Advocate and
Ms. Sukriti Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner
Ms. Neha Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel for
Mr. Amit Sharma,Senior Panel Counsel
for the Union of India
Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G.Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No. 2 to re-issue him passport.
2. Learned counsel for respondents-Union of India submits that application of the petitioner has already been closed and if petitioner files a fresh application, it would be decided considering judgment of this Court in **Mohan Lal @ Mohna vs. Union of India and others 2023 SCC Online P&H 1391** as well as the Passport Act and rules made thereunder.
3. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for Union of India, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

04.01.2024
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No