



CRM-M-18535 of 2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-18535 of 2024

Date of Decision: 21.05.2024

Sarabjit Singh @ Sabhi

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev K. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Rattu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Navraj Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Rahul Kumar, Advocate for the complainant.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.23 dated 06.03.2024, under Sections 325, 323, 324, 458, 506 and 34 of the IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar Kapurthala, District Kapurthala.

As was the assertion made on the previous date of hearing that the parties have already entered into a compromise and an affidavit to that effect dated 13.03.2024 have been placed on record as Annexures P-2 and P-3 respectively, today again the statement has been made by learned counsel for the petitioner to the effect that the parties have settled their dispute amicably amongst themselves and this very fact has not been denied by learned counsel for the complainant.

Learned State counsel though has opposed the concession of

**CRM-M-18535 of 2024****-2-**

anticipatory bail and denied knowledge to the compromise entered into between the parties, if any, asserting that the offences under Sections 325, 323 and 324 IPC categorically are made out if medico legal report is examined reflecting injuries with one injury on the finger and another on the head with Khanda but on a specific query put by this Court, it is an admitted fact that none of the injury has been declared as dangerous to life.

Without going further into the merits of the case and in view of the fact that the parties have already settled their dispute amicably, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation within one week from today and in the eventuality of arrest of the petitioner in the instant case, he shall be released on anticipatory bail subject to his furnishing personal bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

It is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join the investigation within the stipulated period, the anticipatory bail granted to him shall automatically stands cancelled.

With these observations, the present petition is disposed of. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. in letter and spirit.

21.05.2024*D.Bansal***(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No