

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18935-2023

Date of Decision : 28.02.2024

VIJAY KUMAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr.Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vishal Nehra, Advocate for the complainant.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. No one has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner.
2. On 08.02.2024, the following order was passed by this

Court:-

“Earlier, the matter was sent to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, in order to explore the possibility of amicable settlement between the parties. However, the matter could not be settled.

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no amount has ever been deposited in his account, in fact the same was deposited in the account of one Surinder Kumar, as alleged by the complainant.

He further submits that the veracity of the allegations are yet to be investigated by the investigating officer, and the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

Learned counsel for respondent no.2 submits that the petitioner alongwith the co-accused Surinder Kumar, is working as an agent to send people abroad, and both of them with common intentions cheated the present complainant for Rs.3 lakhs, on the pretext of sending a relative of the complainant abroad.

Be that as it may be, let the petitioner join the investigation and on his doing so, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

List on 22.02.2024.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner has already joined the investigation in pursuance to the aforesaid order, and he has fully co-operated with the investigation process, and is no more required for any further custodial investigation.

4. In view of the specific stand taken by the learned State counsel, the present petition is allowed, and order dated 08.02.2024 is, hereby, made absolute, on the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

February 28, 2024
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No