

2024:PHHC:053547

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

CRWP-3316-2024(O&M)
Date of Decision : April 22, 2024

SURINDER PAL

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Binat Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner (THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING).

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The report of the Warrant Officer has been received in a sealed cover. The same is taken on record and after opening the same, it was perused by this Court. The Warrant Officer had visited the Police Station and thereupon, he has filed the following report, which reads as under:-

“I left Chandigarh at 6.30 P.M. on 12.04.2024 along with the petitioner and reached Police Station Nangal District Roopnagar at 9.00 pm. From out side the police station alleged detnues Ashok Kumar and Ravi were seen sitting on a bench kept out side the Computer Room. ASI Kewal Kumar met me and after disclosing my identity and purpose of visit, I along with petitioner search hawalat and other rooms of the police station but detenue Ashwani Kumar was not found there. In the meantime, SHO Rajnish Chaudhry also reached there. I ask him as to why Ashok Kumar and Ravi Kumar have been kept here. SHO told me that Ashwani Kumar and Ashok Kumar have been summoned in the police station for inquiry in case FIR No. 35 dated 02.04.2024 u/s 307/323/506/120B IPC vide notice dated 03.04.2024, 04.04.2024

and 12.04.2024 (Annexure R-1 to R-3). He further told that after inquiry both of them have been asked to go to their home. Ashwani Kumar went from there and Ashok Kumar told that he will go with his father, who is on the way to police station. He further told that Ravi Kumar has not been called/summoned by the police station and he has come to the police station accompanying Ashwani Kumar and Ashok Kumar. He further told that now Ashok Kumar and Ravi Kumar are not required in any case and he has no objection if both of them are handed over to the petitioner. He also handed over copy of status report (Annexure R-4) in this regard.”

2. Since the alleged detenues are no more in confinement, as alleged by the petitioner, no further order is required to be passed in the instant petition.
3. Accordingly, the instant petition is ordered to be **dismissed**.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

April 22, 2024
ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No