

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3422-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.04.2024

Beena Rani

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Ritesh Grover, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

CRM-W-452-2024

1. Prayer application has been filed under Rule 30A(i) of Chapter 6 Part B Volume V of the High Court Rules and Orders for grant of leave to file the case without Number of Roll of Advocate.
2. In view of view of averments made in the application, same is allowed and leave sought is granted.

CRWP-3422-2024

3. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of petitioner and also for issuance of direction to respondent No.4 not to interfere in her personal life and liberty.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is major and she performed marriage with respondent No.4. Due to some temperamental differences between them, the petitioner decided to live separately, as respondent No.4 was maltreating her. She apprehends danger from respondent No.4. The petitioner has also submitted a representation dated 09.04.2024 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2, but till date, no action has been taken.

5. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

6. Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice for the official respondents. Counsel for the petitioner is directed to supply a copy of complete paper book to learned State counsel during course of the day.

7. Without commenting upon merits of the case, I dispose of this petition with direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-3) within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order and grant protection, if there is any threat to her life and liberty. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioner from legal action for violation of law, if any committed by her.

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

19.04.2024
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No