



LPA No.1194 of 2024

1

112

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

LPA No.1194 of 2024(O&M)
Decided on :30.07.2024

Minakshi Goyal

.....Appellant

VERSUS

State of Punjab and Anr.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Ms. Jyoti Choudhary, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. R.S. Pandher, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

LISA GILL, J (ORAL)

1 Prayer in this appeal is for setting aside order dated 08.02.2024 passed by learned Single Judge whereby CWP No.6658 of 2020 filed by appellant/writ petitioner has been dismissed.

2 Admittedly, appellant was appointed as Assistant Computer Operator through a service provider M/s Punjab Ex. Servicemen Corporation, Chandigarh with the Punjab Building and Other Contractual Workers Welfare Board 17 Base Building, Chandigarh. She was transferred from the office of Assistant Labour Commissioner, Patiala to Assistant Labour Commissioner, Sangrur on 11.11.2016. Thereafter, she was relieved from service on 01.09.2017. The service provider as per the stand of respondent had been asked to dis-continue her services on the ground of negligence



after giving one month prior notice. Appellant’s services were not found to be satisfactory. She had been transferred from the office of Assistant Labour Commissioner, Sangrur to Assistant Labour Commissioner, Ferozepur. The writ petition itself is clearly not entertainable as the appellant is not an employee of the respondent-department and neither has any privity of contract with the department.

3 It is a settled position that writ petition at the instance of an employee who has been appointed through an outsourcing agency is not entertainable.

4 Learned counsel for appellant is unable to point out any ground which calls for interference in this appeal for setting aside order dated 08.02.2024. In case of any grievance which she may have qua the service provider, appellant is always at liberty to seek recourse thereto.

5 Appeal is accordingly dismissed.

6 There is a delay of 32 days in filing of this appeal. As the same has been adjudicated upon merits, decision on the application for condonation of delay is rendered academic. Application is disposed of accordingly.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

30.07.2024

jyoti3

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No