

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201

RSA-5046-1999 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.09.2024

Ajmer Singh and others

Versus

....Appellants

Baldev Singh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: None.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

1. The above noted appeal was admitted vide order dated 08.01.2002. As per office report, learned counsel for the appellant has since been elevated to the Bench of this Court (since retired) and the notice issued to the appellants has been received back with the following report:

Appellant Nos.1 and 2	Refused to accept notice, affixation made
Appellant No.3	Died

2. Respondent Nos.2 and 3 are represented by Mr. Inderjit Kaushal, Advocate. Despite the aforesaid counsel being duly informed, no one appears on behalf of respondent Nos.2 and 3.

3. Respondent Nos.1, 4 and 5 have already been proceeded against *ex parte* vide order dated 03.10.2000 passed by this Court.

4. In view of above, this Court is left with no option but to infer that the appellants do not wish to continue with the present appeal.



RSA-5046-1999 (O&M)

-2-

5. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
6. However, the successor-in-interest of appellant No.3 would be at liberty to seek revival of the appeal on showing sufficient cause, if any grievance still survives.

September 27, 2024
Varinder

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No