

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-19336-2024

Date of Decision:-25.04.2024

Gurpreet Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Yadwinder Singh, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate for the Complainant.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The Prayer in this second petition under Section 439 Cr.PC is for the grant of regular bail in case FIR No.0010 dated 21.01.2024 under Sections 307, 458, 323, 427, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Bhawanigarh, Tehsil and District Sangrur.

2. The present FIR came to be registered at the instance of Karnail Singh who stated that he and his wife had been assaulted by their son Gurpreet Singh (petitioner).

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR came to be registered on account of misunderstanding between the complainant on the one hand and the petitioner-his son on the other hand.

With the intervention of the respectables a compromise has been arrived at between the parties. As the petitioner was in custody since 26.01.2024 but

none of of 20 Pws had been examined so far, the trial of the present case was not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he was entitled to the concession of bail.

4. The Counsel for the State on the other hand contends that the the complainant and his wife were brutally assaulted by the petitioner who is their son. He therefore was not entitled to the concession as prayed for. He however concedes that the petitioner was a first time offender, in custody since 26.01.2024 and that none of the 20 Pws had been examined so far.

5. The Counsel for the complainant states that with the intervention of the respectables a compromise has been arrived at between the parties as they are closely related. Therefore, he has no objection if the petitioner is granted the concession of bail.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. Though the instant petition is the second one for the grant of regular bail, the change in the circumstances are that with the intervention of the respectables a compromise has been arrived at between the parties. The complainant and his wife i.e. the parents of the petitioner are personally present in Court and state that they have no objection if the petitioner is granted the concession of regular bail. Even otherwise, the petitioner is in custody since 26.01.2024 but none of the 20 Pws have been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

9. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-**Gurpreet Singh** son of Sh. Karnail Singh is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate,

concerned.

10. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

April 25, 2024
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No