

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-3405-2024

Date of Decision: May 03, 2024

RAM LAL AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Nitin Meel, Advocate for
Mr. K.B. Raheja, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, prayer has been made for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent No.4.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his clients would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondents to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) moved at the instance of petitioners by passing a speaking order expeditiously.
3. Prayer seems to be justified.
4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab, appears on behalf of official respondents and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.
5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed

of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur, to look into the representation (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate action in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 04 (four) weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. The aforesaid direction shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the averments made in the representation.

03.05.2024

Tejwinder

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>