



HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

255

CRM-M-34930-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision:08.08.2024

Suraj Mukhi

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: Mr. Pankaj Maini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, A.A.G., Haryana.

Ms. Deepali Verma, Advocate for respondent No.4.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of orders dated 01.08.2018 (Annexure P-4 colly) passed by learned Additional Distict Judge, Fatehabad whereby application filed by the petitioner under Section 319 Cr.P.C. for summoning respondent No.4, namely, Vinod Kumar to face trial as an additional accused, has been dismissed on 27.07.2018 in I.A. No. 1/18 (Annexure P-3) in FIR No. 82 dated 11.12.2017 registered under Sections 365 and 366-A IPC and Section 4 of POCSO Act, at Police Station Women, Fatehabad (Annexure P-1).

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No.4-Vinod Kumar stands exonerated in the present case and, therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State, on instructions



from Lady Sub Inspector Bagwanti, as well as learned counsel for respondent No. 4 do not dispute the above said fact.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

08.08.2024
Divyanshi

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No